

DRY LAND APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM¹
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): March 17, 2020

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: SAC-2019-02038 Hill Drive Lot 9

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State: South Carolina County/parish/borough: Georgetown County City: Pawleys Island
Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 33.4323 °, Long. -79.1576 °
Universal Transverse Mercator:

Name of nearest waterbody: Waccamaw River

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Waccamaw River; HUC: 030402061003

- ☒ Check if map/diagram of review area is available upon request.
- ☐ Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- ☒ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 3/17/2020
- ☐ Field Determination. Date(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are **no** "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are **no** "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

SECTION III: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):

- ☒ Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: The project area is depicted on a map submitted by the agent titled "Wetland Determination/ Hill Drive Lot 9 (0.52 +/- acres)/ TMS#: 04-0206-119-00-00/ Georgetown County, South Carolina" dated 12/09/2019
- ☒ Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
- ☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
- ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
- ☐ Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
- ☐ U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
- ☐ USGS NHD data.
- ☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
- ☒ U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Waverly Hills Quad; USGS topographic survey information depicts a mostly cleared area void of blue lines or wetland symbols.
- ☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Soil survey information depicts the project area as the hydric soil Rutledge Sand and the partially (1-25%) hydric soil Yauhannah loamy fine sand. Data point taken in the soil labeled as hydric had greater than 30% uncoated sand grains present.
- ☒ National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: NWI depicted the project boundary as uplands.
- ☐ State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
- ☐ FEMA/FIRM maps:
- ☐ 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
- ☒ Photographs: ☒ Aerial (Name & Date): SAC Regulatory Viewer, Google Earth 2019
- ☐ ☒ Other (Name & Date): Site photos submitted by the agent
- ☐ Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
- ☐ Applicable/supporting case law:
- ☐ Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
- ☐ Other information (please specify):

B. REQUIRED ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD. EXPLAIN RATIONALE FOR DETERMINATION THAT THE REVIEW AREA ONLY INCLUDES DRY LAND: This form addresses 0.52 acre of uplands in Pawleys Island, SC. The project area is boarded by a golf course and residential development. Data sheets submitted by the agent show the project did not meet the criteria of wetland set

¹ This form is for use only in recording approved JDs involving dry land. It extracts the relevant elements of the longer approved JD form in use since 2007 for aquatic areas and adds no new fields.

fore in the 1987 manual. Specially, the project area did not meet the hydric soil or hydrology indicators. Due to the consistency in the depiction of uplands the project area was determined to be void of aquatic resources.